# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

# **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

# IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

☐ OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.

# RECEIVED

CHIMPAL FAX CENTER





Pabst Patent Group LLP 400 Colony Square, Suite 1200 1201 Peachtree Street Atlanta, GA 30361

Telephone (404) 879-2150 Telefax (404) 879-2160

information@pabstpatent.com www.pabstpatent.com

# **TELEFAX**

Date:

August 16, 2004

Total pages:

(including fax cover sheet)

34

To:

USPTO

Telephone:

/Telefax: 703-872-9306

From:

Patrea L. Pabst

Telephone: 404-879-2151

Telefax: 404-879-2160

Our Docket No. CMCC 779

Your Docket No.

Client/Matter No. 078856/47

Please call (404) 879-2150 if you did not receive all of the pages, or if they are illegible.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This facsimile, along with any documents, files, or attachments, may contain information that is confidential, privileged, or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, printing, distribution or use of any information contained in or attached to this facsimile is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by facsimile or by telephone collect at the appreciated. Thank you.

# MESSAGE:

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Samy Ashkar

Serial No.:

09/981,845

Art Unit:

1647

Filed:

October 18, 2001

Examiner:

Regina M. Deberry

For:

OSTEOPONTIN-COATED SURFACES AND METHODS OF USE

(45048308.1)

Under the Pa	perwork Reduction Act of 1995	5 no nersou	U.S. Pate	tent and T	Approved :	for use th Mice; U.S	PTO/SB/21 (08:03 rough 07/31/2006, OMB 0661:0031 S. DI PARTMENT OF COMMERCE	
TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence offer initial filing)			Application Number		Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE stormation unless it displays a valid OMB control number. 9/ 981,845			
			Filing Date October 18, 2001 First Named Inventor Samy Ashkaar			A		
			Art Unit					
					Regina M. Deberry			
Total Number of	Pages in This Submession	Attorney Docket Number		CN	CMCC 779			
. 7752		ENC	LOSURES (Check all tha	at anniv	)			
Fee Trans	miltal Form		Drawing(s)	10000	/	After Allo	Wance communication	
<del> </del>			• ,	. أ		o Group Jonesi C	Communication to Bourd	
	et Attached		iconsing-related Papers		(	if Appea	ls and interferences communication to Group	
Amendme	. ,		Petition Petition to Convert to a			Appeal N	otice, Brief, Raply Brief)	
Aft	er Final	L	rovisional Application ower of Attorney, Revocation		LJ F	roprieta	rietary Information	
Affidavits/declaration(s)			Change of Correspondence Address			Status Letter		
Extension	of Time Request	י עַן	'erminal Disclaimer	ŀ		Xther ⊡na Jentify b	closure(s) (please clow);	
Express Abandonment Request		<u> </u>	Request for Refund		3 refere	ences-	2 papers and 1 abstract	
Information Disclosure Statement		CD, Number of CD(s)						
Certified C	opy of Priority (s)	Remark	<u>(\$ _  </u>					
Response Incomplete	to Missing Parts/ Application							
Res und	sponse to Missing Parts fer 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53							
	(A)	*****						
Firm I			APPLICANT, ATTORNI	EY, OR	AGEN	T		
or	Patrent. Pabst lisq., R	eg. No. 3	31,284 Pabst Patent Grou	up LLP				
Individual name 400 Colony Square, Suite 1200, Atlanta, GA 30361 Signature								
Date	Date August 16, 2004							
		DTIE	TE OF TRANSPORT					
I horoby postify the			ATE OF TRANSMISSION					
sufficient postage at the date shown bek		ing façsim elopo addr	ile transmitted to the USPTO or cased to: Commissioner for Pate	deposite ents, P.C	d with the D. Box 145	United 50, Alexa	States Postal Service with Indria, VA 22313-1450 on	
Typed or printed na	me Patrea L. P	abst		•				
Signature		-				Date	August 16, 2004	
gathering, propering, as amount of time you req Trademark Office, U.S.	nd submitting the completed a rule to complete this form and Department of Commerce P	pplication for for suggests	mation is required to obtain or retain 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection on to the USPTO. Time will vary depons for reducing this burden, should 0, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, DO 8 30x 1450, Alexandria, VA 2231	pending up	Hated to 12 Por the ind	minutes t Midual ca	o complete, including so. Any comments on the	

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

PTO/SEV17 (10-00)
Approved for use through 07/31/2008, OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. FEE TRANSMITTAL Complete if Known 09/981.845 Application Number for FY 2004 Filing Date Octobor 18, 2001 First Named Inventor Effective 10/01/2003, Patent fees are subject to annual revision. Samy Ashkar Examiner Name Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1,27 Regina M. Deberry Art Unit 1647 TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 165.00Attorney Docket No. **CMCC 779** METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply) FEE CALCULATION (continued) Check Credit card Other None 3. ADDITIONAL FEES Large Entity Small Entity Deponit Account: Fee Fee Description (\$) Code (\$) 50-3129 Code Account Fee Paid Number 1051 130 2051 65 Surcharge - late filing too or oath **Dep**cisi( Pabst Patent Group LLP 1052 2052 50 25 Surcharge - Late provisional filing fee or cover sheet Account 1053 130 1053 130 Non-English specification The Director is authorized to: (check all that upply) 1812 2,520 \_Chargo foe(s) indicated below 1812 2,520 For filing a request for ex parte reexamination Credit any overpayments Charge any additional fee(s) or any underpayment of foe(s) 1804 920 1804 920\* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee 1805 1,840° Requesting publication of SIR after Examinor action 1805 1,8404 to the above-identified deposit account. FEE CALCULATION 110 2251 55 Extension for reply within first month 210 Extension for roply within second month 1. BASIC FILING FFF 420 2252 arge Entity Small Entity 1253 950 2253 475 Extension for reply within thint month Fee Description Fee Paid Code (\$) ode (\$) 1,480 2254 740 Extension for reply within fourth month 1001 7/0 2001 385 1255 2,010 Utility filing foe 2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 1002 340 2002 170 Design filing too 1401 165 Notice of Appeal 330 2401 1003 530 2003 265 Plant filing fee 1402 330 2402 165 Filing a brief in support of an appeal 165.00 1004 770 2004 385 Reissue fiting fee 1403 145 Request for oral hearing 290 2403 1005 160 2005 80 Provisional filing fee 1451 1,510 1451 1,510 Petition to institute a public use proceeding 1452 110 2452 55 Petition to revive - unavoidable SUBTOTAL (1) (\$) 1453 1.330 2453 665 Petition to revive - unintentional 2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE 1501 1.330 2501 665 Utility Issue foo (or reissum) Ext<u>ra Clain</u>e Fee Paid below 1502 480 240 Design issue fee 2502 **Total Claims** 6 1503 640 2503 320 Plant issue fee Indopendent -3\*\* = Х 1460 130 1460 130 Potitions to the Commissioner Multiple Dependent 1807 50 1807 50 Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q) arge Entity r Small Entity 1806 180 180 Submission of Information Disclosure Strat 1806 Fre Description Code (\$) Code (\$) 40 Recording each potent assignment por 8021 40 8021 1202 property (times number of proporties) 18 2202 ٥ Claims in excess of 20 1809 7/0 2809 385 Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a)) 1201 86 2201 43 Independent claims in excess of 3 1203 290 2203 145 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid 1810 770 2810 385 For each additional invention to be 1204 examined (37 CFR 1.129(b)) 86 Reisaue independent claims over original patent 2204 1801 770 2801 385 Request for Continued Examination (RCE) 1205 18 2205 \*\* Reissum claims in excess of 20 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application 1802 900 1802 and over original patent Other fee (specify) SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 'Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid or number previously poid, if greater: For Relation, see above SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 165.00 SUBMITTED BY Name (Fruit/Type) Registration No. Patréa L. Robst 31,284 THINHUNE (404) 879-2151 Afferrev/Agent) Signaturo

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.27. The information is required to obbtin or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) (in application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appellants:

Samy Ashkar and Jairo Salcedo

RECEIVED
CHARAL FAX CENTER

Serial No.:

09/981,845

Art Unit:

1647

AUG 1 6 2004

Filed:

October 18, 2001

Examiner:

Regina M. Deberry

For:

OSTEOPONTIN-COATED SURFACES AND METHODS OF USE

Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

### APPEAL BRIEF

Sir:

This is an appeal from the final rejection of claims 1-6 in the Office Action mailed February 13, 2004, in the above-identified patent application. A Notice of Appeal was mailed on June 14, 2004 (there is an error in the Advisory Action mailed June 28, 2004). The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge \$165.00, the fee for the filing of this Appeal Brief for a small entity, to Deposit Account No. 50-3129. It is believed that no additional fee is required with this submission. However, should an additional fee be required, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the fee to Deposit Account No. 50-3129.

# (1) REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

45049567v1

1

CMCC 779 078856/00047

The real party in interest of this application is Children's Medical Center Corporation in Boston, MA, the assignee of record; and the licensee of record OraPharma, Inc. in Warminster, PA.

#### (2) RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

There are no related appeals or interferences known to appellant, the undersigned, or appellant's assignee which directly affects, which would be directly affected by, or which would have a bearing on the Board's decision in this appeal.

#### STATUS OF CLAIMS ON APPEAL **(3)**

Claims 1-6 are pending. Claims 1-6 are on appeal. Claims 7-18 were cancelled in an Amendment filed on November 21, 2003. The text of each claim on appeal, as pending, is set forth in an Appendix to this Appeal Brief.

#### **(4)** STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

An amendment after final rejection was mailed on May 11, 2004. In the Advisory Action mailed June 28, 2004, the Examiner indicated that this amendment would be entered. An appendix sets forth the claims on appeal.

#### (5) SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The claims are drawn to isolated active osteopontin fragments and osteopontin-derived peptide fragments that have cell-attachment and cell-spread activity (page 7, line 23 to page 8, line 12). The peptide fragments may be used to increase cell attachment to a material, as well as enhance cell spread on the material (page 11, lines 9-18). The material is suitable for use on a material which is implanted into a patient to enhance cell-attachment and cell-spread activity and 45049567v1 2 CMCC 779

thereby integration of the implant, for example, for use in treatment of periodontal disease (page 10, lines 16-23). Claim 1 is directed to an osteopontin-derived peptide fragment comprising an amino acid sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO:7. SEQ ID NO:8, SEQ ID NO:10, SEQ ID NO:11, SEQ ID NO:12, SEQ ID NO:13, SEQ ID NO:14, and SEQ ID NO:15 (page 8, lines 7-26 and page 12, lines 4-13). Claim 2 is directed to the peptide fragment of claim 1, wherein the peptide increases cell attachment to a material and increases cell spread (page 8, lines 11-12 and page 53, lines 12-17). Claim 3 is directed to the peptide fragment of claim 2, wherein the peptide binds to at least one receptor on a cell surface. Claim 4 is directed to the peptide fragment of claim 3, wherein the receptor(s) is an integrin. Claim 5 is directed to the peptide fragment of claim 4, wherein the integrin(s) is  $\alpha_V \beta_3$ ,  $\alpha_V \beta_5$ ,  $4\beta_1$ ,  $2\beta_1$ , VCAM, ICAM CD44, or V<sub>3</sub>V<sub>x</sub>. Support for claims 3, 4, and 5 can be found on page 3, line 27 to page 4, line 14 and page 53, lines 17-21. Claim 6 is directed to the peptide fragment of claim 3 wherein the cell is an osteoprogenitor cell, tumor cell, macrophage, periosteal cell, endothelial cell, epithelial cell, eosinophil, stem cell, limited potential precursor cell, precursor cells committed precursor cell, or differentiated cell (page 8, line 29 to page 9, line 2).

### (6) ISSUES ON APPEAL

The issues presented on appeal are:

(1) whether claims 1-6 are enabled under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph.

45049567v1

CMCC 779 078856/00047

# (7) ARGUMENTS

## (2) The Claimed Invention

The claims are directed to active ostcopontin-derived peptide fragments and their use in and/or on materials to increase cell attachment and cell spread activity. The peptides may be used to coat, for example, a surgical implant where cell attachment and growth on the implant are desirable. The peptide fragments comprise the sequences

VFTPVVPTVDTYDGRGDSVVYGLRSKSKKFRRP (SEQ ID NO. 7),

RSRRATEVFTPVVPTVDTYDGRGDSVVYGLRSKSKKFRRP (SEQ ID NO:8),

SDELVTDFPTDLPATEVFTPVVPTVDTYDGRGDSVVYGLRSKSKKFRRP (SEQ ID NO:10),

RSRRATEVFTPVVPTVDTYDGRGDSVVYGRRSKSKKFRRP (SEQ ID NO:10),

RSRRATEVFTPVVPTVDTYDGRGDSVVYGRRSKSKKFRRPAGAAGGPAGPAG

PAGPAGPAGPA (SEQ ID NO:11), RSRRVFTPFIPTESANDGRGDSVAYGLKSKSKKFRR
(SEQ ID NO:12), DTFTPIVPTVDVPNGRFDSLAYGLKSKSKKFRP (SEQ ID NO:13),

RSRRATEVFTPVVPTVDTYDGRADSVVYGRRSKSKKFRRP (SEQ ID NO:14), and acetyl-RSRRATEVFTPVVPTVDTYDGRGDSVVYGRRSKSKKFRRP (SEQ ID NO:15).

The ostcopontin-derived peptide fragments increase cell binding and spread by binding to integrins, such as  $\alpha_V\beta_3$ ,  $\alpha_V\beta_5$ ,  $4\beta_1$ ,  $2\beta_1$ , VCAM, ICAM CD44,  $V_3V_x$ , on the surface of cells. The peptide fragments may be used to modulate a number of different cell types, including ostcoprogenitor cells, tumor cells, macrophages, periosteal cells, endothelial cells, epithelial cells, eosinophils, stem cells, limited potential precursor cells, precursor cells, committed precursor cells, and differentiated cells.

45049567v1

4

CMCC 779 078856/00047 U.S.S.N. 09/981,845 October 18, 2001

APPEAL BRIEF

The peptides have numerous applications, but principally in tissue repair or regeneration, for example, when coated onto a titanium material and used in the treatment of periodontal disease to enhance bone regrowth.

# (b) Rejection of claims 1-6 Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph

# The Legal Standard

The Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) has described the legal standard for enablement under § 112, first paragraph, as whether one skilled in the art could make and use the claimed invention from the disclosures in the patent coupled with information known in the art as of the date of filing, without undue experimentation (See, e.g., Amgen v. Hoechst Marion Roussell 314 F.3d 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2003; Genentech, Inc. v. Novo Nordisk A/S, 108 F3d at 165, 42 USPQ2d at 1004 (Fed. Cir. 1997) (quoting In re Wright, 999 F.2d 1557, 1561, 27 USPQ2d 1510, 1513 (Fed. Cir. 1993); See also In re Fisher, 427 F.2d at 839, 166 USPQ at 24; United States v. Telectronics, Inc., 857 F.2d 778 (Fed. Cir. 1988); In re Stephens, 529 F.2d 1343 (CCPA 1976)). The fact that experimentation may be complex does not necessarily make it undue, if the art typically engages in such experimentation (M.I.T. v. A.B. Fortia, 774 F.2d 1104 (Fed. Cir. 1985)). As affirmed by the Court in Spectra-Physics, Inc. v. Coherent, Inc., 827 F.2d 1524 (Fed. Cir. 1987), a patent need not teach, and preferably omits, what is well known in the art.

Whether the disclosure is enabling is a legal conclusion based upon several underlying factual inquiries. See In re Wands, 858 F.2d 731, 735, 736-737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1402, 1404 (Fed. Cir.1988). As set forth in Wands, the factors to be considered in determining whether a claimed invention is enabled throughout its scope without undue experimentation include the 45049567v1 5

U.S.S.N. 09/981,845 Filed: October 18, 2001

quantity of experimentation necessary, the amount of direction or guidance presented, the presence or absence of working examples, the nature of the invention, the state of the prior art, the relative skill of those in the art, the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and the breadth of the claims. In cases that involve unpredictable factors, "the scope of the enablement obviously varies inversely with the degree of unpredictability of the factors involved." In re Fisher, 427 F.2d 833, 839, 166 USPQ 18, 24 (CCPA 1970). The fact that some experimentation is necessary does not preclude enablement; what is required is that the amount of experimentation 'must not be unduly extensive.' Atlas Powder Co., v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., 750 F.2d 1569, 1576, 224 USPQ 409, 413 (Fed. Cir.1984). There is no requirement for examples.

### Analysis

A proper analysis of the *Wands* factors shows that claims 1-6 satisfy the enablement requirement. The quantity of experimentation necessary to make and use the claimed peptides is **not undue**. The claims are directed to ostepontin-derived peptide fragements comprising SEQ ID NO:7, SEQ ID NO:8, SEQ ID NO:9, SEQ ID NO:10, SEQ ID NO:11, SEQ ID NO:12, SEQ ID NO:13, SEQ ID NO:14, or SEQ ID NO:15. These sequences are well known. The amino acid sequence and structure of osteopontin, from which the peptide fragments are derived, are well known. One skilled in the art would have no difficulty making short peptides synthetically, or longer peptides using a portion of the nucleotide sequence encoding osteopontin. The point of novelty is the identification of the amino acid sequence in a very large protein which has the desired activity, and that this activity is retained even in a very small peptide relative to the huge

protein from which it is derived. The specification describes how to coat the peptides to a material (page 13, line 14 to page 14, line 21) and describes the types of materials that may be coated (page 10, lines 16-23 and page 14, lines 22-28). The specification describes the cell types that may be regulated using the osteopontin-derived peptides fragments (page 8, line 29 to page 9, line 2) and that the peptides bind integrin receptors on the surface of these cells (page 3, line 27 to page 4, line 14).

Although there is no requirement for examples, Example 12 and Table 8 on pages 53-55 of the originally filed application, demonstrate that each of SEQ ID NO:15, SEQ ID NO:9, SEQ ID NO:10, SEQ ID NO:11, SEQ ID NO:12, SEQ ID NO:13, or SEQ ID NO:14 binds to osteoprogenitor cells and significantly increases cellular attachment and spread over the control. In addition, Example 12 and Table 8 illustrate that antibodies to integrins (i.e.,  $\alpha_v \beta_3$ ) inhibit the percentage of attached cells and cell spread induced by the peptides (i.e., SEQ ID NO: 15), indicating that the poptides interact with integrins.

The guidance in the specification and ease in carrying out the assays, as shown in the examples, clearly enables one to culture plates with any type of cell expressing different receptor/integrin molecules, and assay for cell attachment and/or cell spread in the presence or absence of the claimed peptides. One of ordinary skill in the art is also enabled to identify other peptides exhibiting the claimed activities. As demonstrated in Example 12, plates can be coated with any of the osteoportin-derived peptide fragments and cultured with cells. The percent increase in cell attachment and cell spread are readily measured by methods commonly used in the art. One then may add antibodies to different integrins, such as,  $\alpha_V \beta_3$ ,  $\alpha_V \beta_5$ ,  $4\beta_1$ ,  $2\beta_1$ , 4504956701

VCAM, ICAM CD44, V<sub>3</sub>V<sub>x</sub>, to see if osteoponin-peptide-induced cell attachment and spread is attenuated and to determine which of the integrins are important for the effects of the osteopontin-derived peptide fragments in a particular cell type. Anti-integrin antibodies may be produced or obtained from many commercial suppliers or laboratories.

Integrins are the principal receptors on animal cells for binding most extracellular matrix proteins, including collagen, fibronectin, and laminin. They are found on the surface of numerous cell types (see, for example, *Molecular Biology of the Cell*. IV. Cells in Their Social Context. 19. Cell Junctions, Cell Adhesion, and the Extracellular Matrix, Garland Publishing (1994)). Although the specification uses osteoprogenitor cells as an example, one of ordinary skill in the art would know that the claimed osteopontin-derived peptide fragments would interact with integrins found on diverse cell types, such as those recited in claim 6. Osteopontin, itself, interacts with a number of different cell types (page 2, lines 23-25).

The Examiner alleges that the data demonstrating the binding of SEQ ID NO: 15 to  $\alpha_v \beta_3$  in Table 8 cannot be extrapolated to the elected species, SEQ ID NO: 11, or any other osteopontin derived peptide binding any integrin on any cell type, because SEQ ID NO: 15 was still able to cause human osteoprogenitor cells to attach and spread in the presence of antibodies against CD44 and  $\alpha\beta_1$ . However, just because the antibodies against CD44 and  $\alpha\beta_1$  failed to inhibit cell attachment and spreading does not mean that the peptide does not bind to these particular receptors. It most likely means that CD44 and  $\alpha_v \beta_1$  are either weakly expressed or not expressed by osteoprogenitor cells and/or peptide-induced cell migration and cell spread in osteoprogenitor cells preferentially occurs through a specific integrin or integrins (i.e.,  $\alpha_v \beta_3$ )

CMCC 770 07885600047

PAGE 12/34 \* RCVD AT 8/16/2004 10:31:54 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/0 \* DNIS:8729306 \* CSID:706 283 7737 \* DURATION (mm-ss):12-54

U.S.S.N. 09/981,845 Filed: October 18, 2001 APPEAL BRIEF

other than CD44 and  $\alpha\beta_1$ . See, for example, Noonan KJ et al. J. Orthop Res. 14(4): 573-81 (1996) (abstract attached), which describes that reduced expression of CD44 was observed in osteoprogenitor cells compared to other bone-related cell types.

In addition, other integrins besides  $\alpha_\nu \beta_3$  may modulate cell attachment and cell spread activity in different cell types. See, for example, Tuck et al. J. Cell Biochem 78(3): 465-475 (2000) (attached), which describes the osteopontin-induced migration of several mammary epithelial cell lines. The study demonstrates that the spread of one of the cell lines was  $\alpha_\nu \beta_5$  and  $\beta_4$ -integrin dependent, but  $\alpha_\nu \beta_3$ -independent, while that of another cell line was  $\alpha_\nu \beta_3$ -dependent. Therefore, even though it is well known that osteopontin binds to  $\alpha_\nu \beta_3$  (Hu et al. J. Biol. Chem. 270 (44): 26232-26238 (1995) (attached)), antibodies to this integrin would not block the osteopontin-induced migration of the first cell line. Likewise, it appears that osteopontin-derived peptide fragment-induced attachment and spread of osteoprogenitor cells is mediated through  $\alpha_\nu \beta_3$  and not CD44, even though the peptide fragments may bind to CD44. There is no legal requirement, however, that the claimed peptides bind all integrins or to all cell types for the peptides to have the specified utility.

# (8) SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The test for undue experimentation is not merely quantitative, since a considerable amount of experimentation is permissible, if it is merely routine, or if the specification in question provides a reasonable amount of guidance with respect to the direction in which the experimentation should proceed. *In re Wands*, 858 F.2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir.1988). It is clear from the direction or guidance given by the specification, the presence of 9 CMCC 779 078856/00047

2 I · q

706 283 7737

tede9 eante9 — q+6:01 +0 a1 guA

PAGE 13/34 \* RCVD AT 8/16/2004 10:31:54 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/0 \* DNIS:8729306 \* CSID:706 283 7737 \* DURATION (mm-ss):12-54

U.S.S.N. 09/981,845 Filed: October 18, 2001 APPEAL BRIEF

working examples, the state of the prior art and the relative skill of those in the art, that one of ordinary skill in the art could make and use the claimed osteopontin-derived peptide fragments to increase cell attachment to a material. In addition, one is clearly enabled to test for the ability of the claimed peptide fragments to bind to integrin receptors on the surface of any cell type.

For the foregoing reasons, Appellants submit that claims 1-6 are enabled.

Respectfully submitted,

Patrea L. Pabst

Reg. No. 31,284

Date: August 16, 2004 PABST PATENT GROUP LLP 400 Colony Square, Suite 1200 1201 Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30361 (404) 879-2151 (404) 879-2160 (Facsimile)

45049567v1

CMCC 779 078856/00047

10

PAGE 15/34 \* RCVD AT 8/16/2004 10:31:54 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/0 \* DNIS:8729306 \* CSID:706 283 7737 \* DURATION (mm-ss):12-54

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

- **(1) REAL PARTY IN INTEREST**
- RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES (2)
- STATUS OF CLAIMS ON APPEAL (3)
- (4) STATUS OF AMENDMENTS
- (5) SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION
- ISSUES ON APPEAL (6)
- **(7) ARGUMENTS** 
  - (a) The Claimed Invention
  - Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph (b)
- SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION (8)

Appendix: Claims On Appeal

Table of Contents

45049567v1

81.q

CMCC 779 078856/00047

tede9 sents9

12